Pulse Transit Time (PTT) Measurements During Laparoscopic and Open Abdominal Surgery: A Pilot Study in ASA I-II Female Patients
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Abstract: Background: The pulse transit time (PTT) is the time interval between the R-wave of the ECG and the appearance of the pulse wave in the periphery during the same cardiac cycle. PTT is influenced by various factors including sympathetic tone and vascular compliance. The authors assessed the effect of anesthesia, intubation and laparoscopic (LPS) versus laparotomic (LPT) surgery on PTT.

Methods: PTT, mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) were measured during induction of anesthesia and during the first 45-min of LPS (n = 17) and LPT (n = 13) surgery in a group of female patients.

Results: Anesthesia increased PTT values, while painful stimuli caused an immediate reduction. During surgery, we observed group effects on PTT and MAP with 10-25% lower PTT values (P < 0.001) and 15-30% greater MAP values (P < 0.01) in the LPS group. HR did not differ between groups.

Conclusions: The pulse transit time was used successfully to track the effect of anesthesia and stressful nociceptive stimuli during induction of anesthesia. The shorter PTT values during laparoscopic abdominal surgery compared to open abdominal surgery suggest a higher sympathetic tone in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery and/or an effect of the increased abdominal pressure on PTT.
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INTRODUCTION

The pulse transit time (PTT) is the time interval between the R-wave of the ECG and the appearance of the pulse wave in the periphery (for example, the finger tip) during the same cardiac cycle. PTT was first introduced in the 1950s in psycho-physiological studies on anxiety and stress [1, 2]. Since the 1990s it is used to measure sympathetic activation during upper airway obstruction in sleep [3]. The use of pulse transit time monitoring during general or local anesthesia is relatively new [4-7]. The results of studies performed during general anesthesia indicate that PTT changes with anesthetic depth [4,6], while studies performed during general and spinal anesthesia suggest that PTT reflects autonomic tone and may function as a surrogate marker of arterial blood pressure [5,6]. Furthermore, Singham and coworkers showed that PTT responds to nociceptive stimulation independently of heart rate [5]. Although there seems general consensus in the notion that PTT reflects sympathetic tone [1-7], using PTT as a marker for blood pressure is still open to debate with some authors arguing that the PTT is just too unreliable or inaccurate to function as an index of blood pressure [8,9].

To get a further indication of the effect of anesthesia and surgery on PTT we measured PTT values during general anesthesia for open and laparoscopic abdominal surgery. We initially measured changes in PTT that occurred due to induction of anesthesia and laryngoscopy/ intubation. Next we compared PTT values during surgery in the laparoscopic (LPS) and laparotomic (LPT) groups. We choose to compare LPS and LPT abdominal surgeries for the reason that there are data that show the presence of differential stressful stimuli during the two procedures [10, 11]. For example, during the first postoperative hours laparoscopic surgery is associated with more severe pain and increased analgesic requirements compared to patients after a laparotomy [10]; and during surgery LPS abdominal surgery is associated with enhanced metabolic and stress hormonal responses compared to open surgery [11]. In the current study we use the pulse transit time as a marker of sympathetic tone and a possible surrogate marker of the nociceptive state of the patient and consequently expect significant differences in PTT values between the two surgical techniques.

METHODS

Patients

The protocol was approved by the local Human Ethics Committee. Since the measurements that we collected were part of the normal standard care and the study was purely observational no informed consent was deemed necessary. Thirty female patients, ASA I or II, scheduled for elective abdominal surgery were included in the study. The sample size was calculated using SamplePower 2.0 (SPSS Inc.) assuming a 30% difference between surgical techniques in PTT value, SD of 20%, alpha = 0.05 and beta > 0.80. Inclusion criteria were: patient 18-65 years, body mass index < 30, absence of cardiovascular disease; exclusion criteria were: the use of illicit drugs, the use of medication that could influence our measurements (e.g., β-blockers, atropine).
Anesthesia and Surgery

None of the patients received premedication. General anesthesia was induced according to the following guideline: remifentanil at 10 µg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹, followed by an induction dose of propofol (2 mg/kg) and atracurium (0.5 mg/kg). The trachea of all patients was subsequently intubated (tube sizes 8-9) and propofol was continued at an infusion rate of 6 – 10 µg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹. Since this was an observational study, the attending anesthesiologist was allowed to change the drug doses and infusion rates according to his own discretion. His or her decisions were based on the routine parameters used to guide anesthesia (heart rate, blood pressure, patient movement, sudomotor responses). Furthermore, since the patients were not randomized (the selection of the operational technique was made by the surgeon in a phase prior to patient selection) we consider our study a pilot study.

The patients underwent either laparoscopy surgery (LPS, \( n = 17 \)) or an elective laparotomy (LPT, \( n = 13 \)) for various procedures. In the laparoscopic group, insufflation of carbon dioxide into the abdominal space was such that abdominal pressure remained between 12 and 16 mmHg. No wound infiltration with local anesthetics was used prior to incision.

Monitoring and Data Acquisition

Continuous 3-lead ECG and infrared pulse oximeter waveforms (obtain from the index finger of the left hand) were obtained from a Cardiopac II and a Capnomac Ultima device (Datex, Helsinki, Finland). These signals were linked to a custom made analogue computer (Marc Geerts, LUMC, The Netherlands), which calculated the pulse transit time for each heart beat. The PTT was calculated as the time between the R-wave of the ECG (lead II) and the upstrokes of the waveform of the pulse oximeter of the same cardiac cycle (the upstroke was determined and the time at which 90% of the upstroke occurred was set at the cut-off value for PTT measurement, see also [3]). The algorithm was validated on simulated data. PTT values and R-R interval were collected on the hard disc of a computer for further analysis. An algorithm in the PTT calculation program allowed for the automatic removal of artifacts related to abnormal ECG and pulse oximeter signals. The artifacts were related to movement of the hand or electrocoagulation causing disturbances in the pulse oximeter and ECG signals (about 5% of the data was rejected due to the occurrence of artifacts related to the absence of a usable R-wave of the ECG or an irregular upstroke of the plethysmogram). Other measurements that were collected for data analysis were blood pressure (Datex Cardiopac II), end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration (Datex Capnomac Ultima), and oxygen saturation (Capnomac Ultima). These variables were collected at 5 min intervals.

Data collection started 5 to 10-min prior to the induction of anesthesia and continued for 45 minutes from the start of the surgical incision. The data obtained at seven time points were used in the statistical analysis: 1. baseline (prior to any drugs give); 2. just prior to intubation (induction); 3. laryngoscopy and intubation; 4. just prior to incision (deepening of anesthesia); 5. 15 min into surgery; 6. 30 min into surgery; and 7. 45 min into surgery. We restricted the study to the first 45-min of surgery since half of the laparoscopic procedures just didn’t last longer than 50 min.

Data Analysis

PTT values at the seven time points were averaged for 10 s. These values were used in the statistical analysis. The data obtained around intubation and during surgery were analyzed separately (intubation data points 1 – 4; surgery data points 4 – 7). To detect a significant difference between treatments (LPS versus LPT) and among the different data points we performed a two-way analysis of variance with post hoc t-tests with Bonferroni corrections (Sigmastat 3.1, Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). The Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated to obtain information on the correlation between blood pressure and PTT during the second phase (surgery) of the study. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were calculated for the change in PTT in relation to an increase in MAP by 5 and 10% using the MedCalc statistical software package (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). P-values < 0.05 were considered significant. Values are mean ± standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated.

RESULTS

The two study groups did not differ with respect to patient age, BMI, ASA class and the amount of remifentanil and propofol used during anesthesia induction and the first 45 min of surgery (see Table 1). The type of surgical procedures did differ between the two groups (Table 1).

Induction of Anesthesia and Insertion of the Endolaryngeal Tube

In Fig. (1) the effect of induction of anesthesia and surgery on cardiovascular measurements in a patient that underwent an open procedure for a hysterectomy is shown. The data obtained during induction (data points 1 to 4) are typical for the whole study group. Anesthesia caused the increase in PTT values (data points 2 and 4), while insertion of the endolaryngeal tube and gastric tube caused an immediate decrease in PTT (data point 3). During this phase of the study, heart changes were in accordance (but reciprocal) to the changes in PTT while blood pressure values (obtained at 5-min intervals) showed a gradual decrease. The mean values of the study population are given in Fig. (2). Insertion of the endolaryngeal tube was associated with a significant decrease in pulse transit time from 268 ± 33 to 235 ± 30 ms (\( P = 0.013 \)) and an increase in heart rate from 72 ± 13 to 88 ± 19 beats/min (\( P = 0.002 \)) while no change was observed in blood pressure.

Laparoscopic vs Open Procedures

The mean values of the measured variables obtained at the four data points during laparoscopic and laparotomic surgery are shown in Fig. (3). We present the values of the pulse transit time relative to baseline to take into account the variability that occurred among subjects in baseline PTT values (that is, PTT at the end of induction, data point 4). As expected end-tidal PCO₂ values were about 0.5 kPa greater in the laparoscopic group (\( P < 0.01 \) versus LPT). Group effects were further present on PTT and blood pressure with 10-25% lower PTT values in the laparoscopic group (\( P < 0.001 \)) and 15-30% greater mean arterial blood pressure values in the patients undergoing a laparoscopic procedure (\( P < 0.01 \)) compared to patients undergoing an open procedure.
No effect of the surgical procedures was observed on heart rate.

**Pulse Transit Time versus Blood Pressure**

The relationship between PTT and mean arterial pressure is given in Fig. (4). There was significant albeit small correlation with PTT with $R^2 = 0.30$ (df = 76, $P < 0.001$).

We constructed receiver operating curves (ROC) to get an indication of the sensitivity and specificity of PTT to reflect 5% and 10% changes in mean arterial blood pressure (Fig. 5). For an increase in MAP of 10%, a change in PTT of 5% had a sensitivity of 91% and specificity of 62%, while a change in PTT of 10% had a sensitivity of 77% and specificity of 71%. The corresponding values for an increase in MAP of 5% were 93% and 68% (sensitivity and specificity

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of the Laparoscopic and Laparotomic Surgery Study Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Laparoscopy</th>
<th>Laparotomy</th>
<th>$P$-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (SD)</td>
<td>49 (16)</td>
<td>44 (10)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (SD)</td>
<td>27 (5)</td>
<td>25 (4)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA I/II</td>
<td>12/5</td>
<td>10/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures ($n$)</td>
<td>cholecystectomy (3)</td>
<td>hysterectomy (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nephrectomy (2)</td>
<td>urethrotomy (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>adnex surgery (9)</td>
<td>exploratory surgery (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>colonic surgery (6)</td>
<td>cholecystectomy (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol dose (SD) mg/kg *</td>
<td>9.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>9.8 (3.8)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remifentanil dose (SD) mg/kg *</td>
<td>14.3 (2.7)</td>
<td>16.2 (6.9)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atracurium dose (SD) mg *</td>
<td>45 (5)</td>
<td>43 (8)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS values (range)</td>
<td>44-57</td>
<td>39-60</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (range) min</td>
<td>78 (50-135)</td>
<td>124 (55-180)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total dose given from induction until the end of the study (45 min into surgery).

---

**Fig. (1).** Example of the pulse transit time (PTT) values during induction of anesthesia and the first 45-min of surgery in a non-laparoscopic abdominal procedure. Also given are the blood pressure values (\(x\) is mean arterial pressure) and heart rate values (1/R-R interval as obtained from the PTT computer). 1 = predrug baseline, 2 = induction of anesthesia just prior to intubation, 3 = laryngoscopy and intubation; 4 = just prior to incision, 5 = 15 min into surgery, 6 = 30 min into surgery and 7 = 45 min into surgery. a = multiple attempt to introduce a gastric tube, b = artifact, c = peritoneal traction.
of a 5% change in PTT) and 82% and 78% (sensitivity and specificity of a 10% change in PTT). For the 5% MAP change, the area under-the-curve is 0.85 (95% confidence interval = 0.75 – 0.92); for the MAP 10% change the corresponding values are 0.79 (0.68 – 0.87). Both areas are significantly greater than 0.5 ($P < 0.0001$).

**Fig. (2).** The effect of anesthesia induction, laryngoscopy/intubation and deepening of anesthesia on pulse transit time (PTT, left), mean arterial pressure (MAP, middle) and heart rate (HR, right). 1 = predrug baseline, 2 = induction of anesthesia just prior to intubation, 3 = laryngoscopy and intubation; 4 = just prior to incision. PTT: * $P < 0.01$ versus 1, ** $P < 0.02$ versus 2 and 4; MAP: # $P < 0.01$ versus 1; HR: @ $P < 0.01$ versus 1, @ $P < 0.01$ versus 2 and 4.

**Fig. (3).** Pulse transit time (PTT), end-tidal PCO$_2$, blood pressure and heart rate (HR) during laparoscopic surgery (blue) and laparotomic (yellow) surgery. 4 = just prior to incision, 5 = 15 min into surgery, 6 = 30 min into surgery, 7 = 45 min into surgery and end of study. The comparisons shown are between treatment levels (i.e., laparoscopic versus non-laparoscopic) of the same time period. * $P < 0.01$. Between group comparisons revealed a significant treatment effect on PTT (treatment and treatment*time: $P < 0.001$), blood pressure (treatment*time: $P < 0.01$) and end-tidal PCO$_2$ (treatment and treatment*time: $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively).
PTT in Laparoscopic vs Open Procedures

Fig. (4). Blood pressure versus pulse transit time during the 2nd part of the study (surgery). Dark red symbols: Laparotomies. Dark yellow symbols: Laparoscopies. Continuous lines are the linear regressions, broken lines, the 95% confidence intervals. The $R^2$-value is 0.30 (df = 76, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. (5). A. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) for the change in pulse transit time in relation to an increase in blood pressure by 5 (continuous dark red line) and 10% (broken dark green line). Data are from the surgical phase of the study. For the 5% change, the area under-the-curve is 0.85 (95% confidence interval = 0.75 – 0.92); for the 10% change the corresponding values are 0.79 (0.68 – 0.87). Both areas are significantly greater than 0.5 ($P < 0.0001$).

DISCUSSION

In the current study we measured the pulse transit time during induction of anesthesia, laryngoscopy/intubation and during laparoscopic and laparotomic surgery. We observed in the first part of the study that anesthesia lengthens PTT while insertion of the endolaryngeal tube (and other painful stimuli) caused its shortening. In the second part of the study we observed a significant difference between PTT values in the LPS group compared to the LPT group.

We used a custom made analogue PTT computer which calculated the PTT by taking the time interval between the R-wave of the ECG and the appearance of the pulse wave in the finger tip. By using the R-wave in the calculation of the PTT, the PTT includes the electrical activation and isovolumetric contraction of the ventricle (pre-ejection phase, PEP), mechanical ventricular ejection (ejection phase), the propagation of the pulse pressure wave to the periphery (propagation or vascular phase) and the upstroke of the plethysmogram (in our algorithm the time that the upsweep was at 50% of its maximum was chosen as cut-off value) [7,8]. The pre-ejection period (PEP) and ejection phase (i.e., the non vascular components of PTT) take up about 10 to 35% of the PTT. The PTT is determined by many factors such as preload, afterload, heart rate and cardiac contractility (these influence the PEP and ejection phases of the PTT), blood density and vascular elastance (these influence the propagation phase of PTT) [7,8]. Vascular elastance is dependent on compliance of the vessel, arterial blood pressure and sympathetic tone. In contrast with older studies on PTT, we used a PTT computer that incorporated an artifact rejection algorithm. This increased the reliability of the PTT calculations. Our device is identical to that used by Singham and coworkers and Sharwood-Smith and coworkers [5,6]. However, a custom made PTT computer is not needed for its measurements as it may easily be trended from suitable designed patient monitors.

Our observation that PTT increases with anesthesia (Figs. 1 and 2) is in agreement with earlier findings during general and spinal anesthesia [5,6]. It indicates that PTT is sensitive to sympathicolysis from anesthesia. Furthermore we observed rapid shortening of PTT in response to nociceptive stimulation (insertion of laryngoscope, intubation, insertion of naso-gastric tube, Figs. (1 and 2)). Our current finding concur with a short report where we showed that PTT correlates well with bispectral index (BIS) values [4]. However, in contrast to BIS, low PTT values correlate well with perceived nociceptive stimulation such as intubation and patient movement. This was confirmed by Singham and coworkers, who argued that PTT reflects autonomic or sympathetic responses to nociceptive stimulation [5].

Sharwood-Smith and coworkers [6] showed that the PTT gives a reliable beat-to-beat indication of arterial blood pressure during spinal anesthesia for Cesarean Section. They further showed that PTT allowed an accurate predication of the onset of hypotension. In our study PTT did not reflect arterial blood pressure as well as in the study of Sharwood-Smith and coworkers: PTT was unable to account for 70% of blood pressure variance (Fig. 4) with specificity and sensitivity values ranging around 70% (Fig. 5). A recent study in healthy volunteers showed that a major draw back of the use of PTT might be that its correlation with mean arterial pressure becomes unreliable during infusion of vasoactive drugs (such as noradrenaline and salbutamol). Our observations and those of others [8,9] indicate that using of PTT as a surrogate measure arterial blood pressure may not be reliable, at least not under all circumstances.
A cause for the shorter PTT values in the LPS group may be related to a higher sympathetic tone during LPS compared to LPT. An increase in sympathetic tone may occur due to the sustained pressure from the pneumo-peritoneum on retroperitoneal and para-aortal nerve tissue, splanchnic bed, abdominal and retroperitoneal viscera [10]. Similarly, the CO₂ absorbed from the peritoneal cavity may cause sympathico-excitation [12]. We also need to consider other causes for the shortening of PTT unrelated to a direct effect of sympathetic tone on PTT. The increased abdominal pressure may have affected PTT either via changes in preload (causing a shortening of PEP) or due to the requirement for higher intrathoracic pressures for a given tidal volume [13-15]. A higher intrathoracic pressure will affect PTT by changing PEP as well as by changing the compliance of the arterial blood vessels [13-15]. Our study design does not allow us to differentiate between PTT changes from an increased sympathetic tone or from an increased abdominal and thoracic pressure. We therefore argue that the differences in PTT between LPS and LPT group were caused by the effect of the increased abdominal pressure combined with an effect of an increased sympathetic tone.

Critique of Methods

We performed our studies exclusively in female patients. This was done for practical reasons (we aimed at predominant gynecological laparoscopic procedures) and to exclude possible gender effects of the analgesic regimen [16]. We restricted our studies to the first 45 min of surgery. As stated earlier this was done for the simple fact that most of the laparoscopic procedures last no longer than 50 min. In those cases in which the laparoscopic procedure lasted longer than 50 min PTT values did remain within the range observed during the initial part of surgery (laparoscopy PPT range from 0.78 to 0.90 of control versus laparotomy PTT 0.92 to 1.2 of control). Finally, it may be argued that patients in the laparoscopy groups received inadequate anesthesia and consequently had lower PTT values. We do not believe that this was the case. Bispectral index values were routinely monitored in our patients and the BIS values did not differ between groups (see Table 1). This indicates that the depth of anesthesia was similar between the two groups.

CONCLUSIONS

The pulse transit time was used successfully to track the effect of anesthesia and stressful nociceptive stimuli during induction of anesthesia. During laparoscopic abdominal surgery PTT values were significantly shorter than during open abdominal surgery. While this may reflect an increased sympathetic outflow in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery we cannot exclude an additional effect from the increased abdominal and thoracic pressures on PTT. The PTT is a valuable non-invasive monitor, which is easily derived from standard patient monitors and may become a standard additional parameter during anesthesia and surgery just as ST segment monitoring. However, our data (and those of others [8, 9]) indicate that PTT is not a reliable marker of beat-to-beat blood pressure. Also the use of PTT as a surrogate marker of pain is still premature, as we cannot exclude cardiovascular influences on the PTT independent of pain and stress (such as occur due to the increase in abdominal pressure). Further studies are needed to assess the interaction between pain and influencing factors (e.g., ventilation mode, vasoactive drugs, blood loss, inspired oxygen concentration, atherosclerosis) on PTT during anesthesia.
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